## LA-UR-13-25331

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Title: DP: a Fast Median Filter Approximation

Author(s): $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Marcus, Ryan C. } \\ & \end{aligned} \quad$ Ward, William C.

Intended for: Web

Issued: 2013-07-23 (Rev.2)

Disclaimer:
Los Alamos National Laboratory, an affirmative action/equal opportunity employer, is operated by the Los Alamos National
Security, LLC for the National NuclearSecurity Administration of the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC52-06NA25396.
By approving this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains nonexclusive, royalty-free license to
publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.
Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the
U.S. Departmentof Energy. Los Alamos National Laboratory strongly supports academic freedom and a researcher's right to publish; as an institution, however, the Laboratory does not endorse the viewpoint of a publication or guarantee its technical correctness.

# DP: a Fast Median Filter Approximation 

Ryan Marcus<br>AET-6<br>rmarcus@lanl.gov<br>William Ward<br>AET-6<br>ww@lanl.gov

July 16, 2013


#### Abstract

We present a new non-discrete algorithm that quickly approximates a median filter. This new algorithm proves to be faster than our implementations of many other fast median filter algorithms.


## 1 Introduction

Median filters can greatly reduce noise in an image, but are computationally expensive [10]. Fast median filter algorithms are required for batch image processing and real-time filtering.

Many have shown that fast median filter algorithms can be constructed through histogram manipulation [5, 11, 9]. These algorithms assume that data can be discretized into histogram bins, which is true for standard 8-bit images. However, many applications, such as computed tomography, utilize floating-point data [6]. Others have shown that efficient sorting mechanisms for small sets or statistical techniques can lead to fast results of varying accuracy $[3,7]$.

We present a median filter approximation algorithm that does not require data to be discretized. This algorithm performs favorably compared to other approximation algorithms, and has known error bounds.

## 2 Algorithm

Narendra demonstrated that applying a one-dimensional median filter across the rows and columns of an image can quickly produce accurate results [8]. However, giving special attention to various properties of the median function itself can yield an even faster algorithm. By combining the techniques of Narendra [8] and Huang [5], one can achieve faster results by exploiting data overlap.

The new algorithm, named DP, is outlined in algorithm 1 for a $3 \times 3(W=$ 3) median filter. Note that $I_{i, j}$ refers to the pixel in the $i$ th row and $j$ th column, with $I_{0,0}$ representing the upper left hand corner of the image.

DP is similar to Huang in that DP moves a kernel ${ }^{1}$ across an image by row from left to right and preserves data between each kernel. Unlike Huang, DP does not maintain a histogram, but rather the median values of $w-1$ columns. When progressing the kernel by one column, DP discards the median value of the previous leftmost column and calculates the median value of the new rightmost column. The median value of the kernel is then approximated by taking the median value of the column medians.

Table 1a represents the initialization step for each row in which the median of the first and second columns are calculated and stored into coll and col2, respectively. This step occurs once at the beginning of every row. Table 1 b shows how the first kernel is fully calculated by finding the median of the third column, stored into col3, and then finding the median of the medians (which is the median of the set $\{\operatorname{col} 1, \operatorname{col} 2, \operatorname{col} 3\}$ ). Table 1c shows how the kernel moves over to the next column: the value of col2 is placed into col1, the value of col3 is placed into col2, and the median of the new rightmost column is stored into col3. The median value of the kernel represented in table 1 c is once again calculated by finding the median of the set $\{\operatorname{col} 1, \operatorname{col} 2, \operatorname{col} 3\}$.

While DP is not guaranteed to find the exact median of a given kernel, it is guaranteed to find a value near the median. The exact accuracy of DP is discussed and proven in the next section.

## 3 Accuracy

The accuracy of DP depends upon the size of the kernel used for filtering. We assume a $W x W$ square. While the DP algorithm will work with any

[^0]```
Algorithm 1 The DP algorithm for median filter calculations
    function DP (Image \(I\), Image \(I^{\prime}\) )
        for \(i=1 \rightarrow \mathrm{I}\).HEIGHT -1 do
            \(\operatorname{col} 1 \leftarrow \operatorname{median}\left(\left[I_{i-1,0}, I_{i, 0}, I_{i+1,0}\right]\right)\)
            \(\operatorname{col} 2 \leftarrow \operatorname{median}\left(\left[I_{i-1,1}, I_{i, 1}, I_{i+1,1}\right]\right)\)
            for \(j=1 \rightarrow \mathrm{I}\).WIDTH -1 do
                col3 \(\leftarrow \operatorname{median}\left(\left[I_{i-1, j+1}, I_{i, j+1}, I_{i+1, j+1}\right]\right)\)
                \(I_{i, j}^{\prime} \leftarrow \operatorname{median}([\operatorname{col} 1, \operatorname{col} 2, \operatorname{col} 3])\)
                col1 \(\leftarrow \operatorname{col} 2\)
                \(\operatorname{col} 2 \leftarrow \operatorname{col} 3\)
            end for
        end for
    end function
```

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $*$ | $*$ | 0 | $*$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | $*$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $*$ | $*$ | 1 | $*$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | $*$ |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $*$ | $*$ | 2 | $*$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | $*$ |
| 3 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 3 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 3 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 4 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 4 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 4 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |

(a) For the first kernel of (b) Calculate the median (c) For the kernel cenrow 1 , calculate the me- of the right-hand column tered at $I_{1,2}$, calculate dian of the first column and then calculate the the median of the rightand the second column median of the 3 column hand column and then medians. This approxi- calculate the median of mates the median of $I_{1,1}$ the 3 column medians

Table 1: An illustration of the steps in DP for a 3x3 kernel
arbitrary kernel (even ones that are not square), the proofs presented here consider only square kernels of odd side length ( $W$ is odd $)^{2}$.

DP may not find the exact median of every kernel, but it will find a value within known bounds. If $L$ represents the sorted values of a given kernel, DP will find a value between the $\alpha$ th element of $L$ and the $\beta$ th element of $L$, where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha=\frac{(W+1)^{2}}{4}-1  \tag{1}\\
\beta=W^{2}-\alpha=\frac{(3 W-1)^{2}}{12}+\frac{2}{3} \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

### 3.1 Proof of accuracy

In order to prove this constraint, we define the median of an ordered (ascending) set of elements $A=\left\{A_{0}, A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}\right\}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{median}(A)=A_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the median of any set $S$ as the median of the ordered (ascending) set containing the same values as $S$.

Lemma 1 follows immediately from this definition.
Lemma 1. The median element in a distinct-valued set $S$ of size $n$ is greater than at least $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$ other members of $S$.

Proposition 1 (Median of medians). If $M$ is an indexed set such that $M_{i}$ is the median value of the set $m_{i}$, with all $m_{i}$ having distinct values ${ }^{3}$ and equal cardinality, then the median of $M$ is greater than and less than $h$ members of $\bigcup m$, where $h=\left\lfloor\frac{\lfloor M \mid}{2}\right\rfloor\left\lfloor\frac{\left|m_{0}\right|}{2}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{\left|m_{0}\right|}{2}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{\mid M\rfloor}{2}\right\rfloor$.

Proof. Let $G$ be the set of all $m_{i}$ such that median $\left(m_{i}\right)$ is less than median $(M)$.

$$
G=\left\{x \mid x=m_{i} \wedge \operatorname{median}(x)<\operatorname{median}(M)\right\}
$$

By Lemma 1, median $(M)$ is greater than at least $\left\lfloor\frac{\lfloor M \mid}{2}\right\rfloor$ other elements of $M$.

[^1]$$
|G| \geq\left\lfloor\frac{|M|}{2}\right\rfloor
$$
(By Lemma 1)

By Lemma 1, the median of $G_{i}$ is greater than at least $\left\lfloor\frac{\left|G_{i}\right|}{2}\right\rfloor$ members of $G_{i}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall i\left(\left|\left\{x \mid x \in G_{i} \wedge x<\operatorname{median}\left(G_{i}\right)\right\}\right| \geq\left\lfloor\frac{\left|G_{i}\right|}{2}\right\rfloor\right) \tag{ByLemma1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because inequalities are transitive, any value that is less than median $\left(G_{i}\right)$ is also less than median $(M)$.

$$
\forall i\left(x \in G_{i} \wedge x<\operatorname{median}\left(G_{i}\right) \rightarrow x<\operatorname{median}(M)\right) \quad \text { (By transitivity) }
$$

Thus:

1. median $(M)$ is greater than at least $\left\lfloor\frac{\lfloor M\rfloor}{2}\right\rfloor$ members of $M$ (by Lemma 1),
2. Each of those members of $M$ are greater than at least $\left\lfloor\frac{\left|G_{i}\right|}{2}\right\rfloor$ members of some $G_{i}$ (shown above),
3. Since median $(M)$ is itself the median of some $m_{i}$, median $(M)$ is greater than at least $\left\lfloor\frac{\left\lfloor m_{i}\right\rfloor}{2}\right\rfloor$ members of that $m_{i}$ (by Lemma 1 ).

Therefore, median $(M)$ is greater than at least $\left\lfloor\frac{\lfloor M \mid}{2}\right\rfloor\left\lfloor\frac{\left\lfloor m_{0} \mid\right.}{2}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{\left\lfloor m_{0} \mid\right.}{2}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{\lfloor M \mid}{2}\right\rfloor$ members of $\bigcup m$.

$$
|\{x \mid x \in \bigcup m \wedge x<\operatorname{median}(M)\}| \geq\left\lfloor\frac{|M|}{2}\right\rfloor\left\lfloor\frac{\left|m_{0}\right|}{2}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{\left|m_{0}\right|}{2}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{|M|}{2}\right\rfloor
$$

It follows immediately that median $(M)$ is also less than at least $\left\lfloor\frac{\lfloor M \mid}{2}\right\rfloor\left\lfloor\frac{\left\lfloor m_{0} \mid\right.}{2}\right\rfloor+$ $\left\lfloor\frac{\left|m_{0}\right|}{2}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{\lfloor M \mid}{2}\right\rfloor$ members of $\bigcup m$.

Table 2: Sample $\alpha$ and $\beta$ values for popular median filter kernel sizes

| Kernel size | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | Max index error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3 \times 3$ | 3 | 6 | 1 in 9 |
| $5 \times 5$ | 8 | 17 | 4 in 25 |
| $7 \times 7$ | 15 | 34 | 9 in 49 |

Algorithm 1 finds the median of $W$ values, where each value is itself the median of $W$ other values. Thus, proposition 1 can be applied with $|M|=\left|m_{0}\right|=W$. Note, however, that the proof above assumes that all values are distinct. While this is not the case in practice, the same logic still applies. Proposition 1 means that the median value selected by algorithm 1 for a pixel $I_{i, j}$ will be greater than and less than $h$ of pixel $I_{i, j}$ 's neighbors. Note that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\left\lfloor\frac{|M|}{2}\right\rfloor\left\lfloor\frac{\left|m_{0}\right|}{2}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{\left|m_{0}\right|}{2}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{|M|}{2}\right\rfloor=\left\lfloor\frac{W}{2}\right\rfloor^{2}+2\left\lfloor\frac{W}{2}\right\rfloor . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

And when $W$ is odd:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\lfloor\frac{W}{2}\right\rfloor^{2}+2\left\lfloor\frac{W}{2}\right\rfloor=\left(\frac{W-1}{2}\right)^{2}+2 \frac{W-1}{2}=\frac{(W+1)^{2}}{4}-1=\alpha \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the selected value for a given pixel must be greater than at least $\alpha$ of its neighbors and less than at least $\alpha$ of its neighbors, the selected value's index in the ordered list $L$ is between $\alpha$ and $W^{2}-\alpha=\beta$.

Values for popular median filter kernel sizes are shown in table 2 .

### 3.2 Distribution

Although the distribution of the index selected as the median by DP will vary with data, testing DP with randomly generated images suggests that the value selected is not uniformly distributed between $\alpha$ and $\beta$. Figures $1 \mathrm{a}, 1 \mathrm{~b}$, and 1 c show the distribution of the selected index for $n=100000$ samples.

Figure 1d shows the cumulative error probability of the 7 x 7 median filter. The graph also shows a normal cumulative density function with $\mu=1.0364$ and $\sigma=1.4169$. In the $7 \times 7$ case (with random image data), table 3 shows that DP selects a value within one index of the median $51.9 \%$ of the time. DP selects a value within 4 indexes of median $96.2 \%$ of the time.

Figure 1: Distributions for various kernels


Table 3: Cumulative error probabilities for 7x7 filter

| Index error $\leq$ | Probability |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.204 |
| 1 | 0.519 |
| 2 | 0.755 |
| 3 | 0.895 |
| 4 | 0.962 |
| 5 | 0.989 |
| 6 | 0.997 |
| 7 | 0.999 |
| 8 | 0.999 |
| 9 | 1.000 |

Table 4: Benchmark data of several median filter algorithms on an AMD Opteron 6168 (8-bit images)

| Size | Bubble | Huang | Perreault and Hebert | DP | Dong | Narendra |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5000^{2}$ | 1.364 s | 5.693 s | 7.959 s | 0.46 s | 3.598 s | 0.653 s |
| $4000^{2}$ | 0.868 s | 3.628 s | 4.981 s | 0.295 s | 2.317 s | 0.407 s |
| $3000^{2}$ | 0.492 s | 2.043 s | 2.749 s | 0.168 s | 1.295 s | 0.231 s |
| $2000^{2}$ | 0.219 s | 0.900 s | 1.189 s | 0.069 s | 0.568 s | 0.094 s |
| $1000^{2}$ | 0.057 s | 0.225 s | 0.290 s | 0.018 s | 0.057 s | 0.024 s |

## 4 Performance

An implementation of the DP algorithm written in $C$ was benchmarked against several other median filter implementations using a $3 x 3$ kernel. Raw timings are shown in table 4 and graphed in figure 2.

The bubble algorithm is a hard-coded bubble-sort approach presented by Kopp and Purgathofer [7]. Huang's algorithm consists of a moving histogram kernel [5]. Perreault and Herbet present a variation of Huang's algorithm that only accesses each pixel once[9]. DP is the algorithm discussed in this paper. This implementation of Dong's algorithm [3] is slightly modified to produce results within the same bounds as DP using the sign test method. Narendra's algorithm involves a 1D median filter that can be used to approximate a 2 D median filter [8].

As the bubble algorithm, Huang, and Perreault and Herbet are exact median filters that calculate the precise median of a pixel's neighborhood, comparisions to the DP, Dong and Narendra approximation algorithms are far from fair. It is also worth noting that the performance of the bubble algorithm decreases quickly and substantially as kernel size increases.

The performance of DP compares favorably to all other algorithms tested, although there are several other approximation algorithms not considered in this paper $[2,1,12]$.

## 5 Conclusion

We have presented a new median filter algorithm that performs favorably compared to many preexisting algorithms. Unlike many of such algorithms, DP does not require data to be discretized or otherwise placed into a his-
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Figure 2: A graph of table 4
togram. While DP is not completely precise, the error bounds of DP are well defined, proven, and small enough for many applications.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Many sources use the term "window" instead of "kernel."

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ In practice, $W$ is almost always odd so that there is an exact center of the kernel [4].
    ${ }^{3}$ Note that all $m_{i}$ have distinct values from each othe.r

